## CABINET RESPONSE TO ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT SELECT COMMITTEE AND UTILITIES TASK GROUP

PROPOSAL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF A PERMIT SCHEME UNDER THE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT ACT 2004 AND TASK GROUP REPORT: IMPROVING THE CO-ORDINATION AND QUALITY OF WORK FROM THE UTILITIES COMPANIES

## **SELECT COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

That the recommendations of the Improving the Co-ordination and Quality of Work of Utilities Companies in Surrey Task Group and the proposal for the introduction of a Permit Scheme under the Traffic Management Act 2004 (agenda item 12) be endorsed.

#### TASK GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations of the Utilities Task Group are set out in Appendix 1 to agenda item 12.

## **RESPONSE**

Firstly I would like to take this opportunity to thank the Members of the Utilities Task Group and the officers involved for their hard work in producing this detailed report.

I welcome the proposal for the introduction of a Permit Scheme, as recommended by the Task Group (**Recommendation 3**) and supported by the Environment and Transport Select Committee, and its approval is recommended to the Cabinet.

With regard to the other recommendations of the Task Group, my responses to each of the proposals are set out below.

# Recommendation 1 – Development of a clear and accessible internal and external communications policy with regards to the publicising of street works

It is recognised that effective communication is an essential part of managing the impact of street works and so I welcome the range of proposals within this recommendation which will benefit all interested parties, both internal and external. Officers will develop an improved street works communications policy as recommended for introduction in April 2013.

Recommendation 2 – More cost effective and efficient processes for monitoring and reporting the quality of street works and greater incentive for utilities companies to complete their works on time and to a high standard

Quality of workmanship by utility companies can often be criticised and any monitoring needs to be effective. It is also recognised that there are limitations on the incentives for utilities companies to always adhere to the required quality standards. On this basis I welcome the recommendation for improvements in this area however it is acknowledged that the area of streetworks is heavily legislated and some of the proposals within the recommendation will be difficult to achieve. Officers will progress as recommended with immediate effect on the expectation that some of the proposals will remain as an exploratory exercise until proved that further work will be both achievable and beneficial to SCC.

Recommendation 4 – More effective and robust processes around the planning, monitoring and execution of street works, particularly including areas with special conditions such as Conservation Areas.

Proposals under the recommendation 4 to improve the planning, monitoring and execution of streetworks are also supported. This is of particular importance to Surrey given that a significant proportion of the roads in the County are designated as being in a conservation area and also the scale of the ongoing investment in our own road maintenance programmes, such as the proposed 5 year programme. Officers will develop an action plan for each of the proposals and implement accordingly over the next nine months to coincide with the preparation for the introduction of a permit scheme.

Mr John Furey Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment 5 February 2013